This page intentionally left blank.

• I did an email search to remember why I wasn't at the INI CAR. Not very funny: "Omicron variant" was part of the reason.

• My talk is about posets, which can be thought of as quivers, so I am using slides rather than drawing any posets on the board.

• I'm embarrassed to say that the paper is already on the arXiv. (arXiv:2311.06033)

It's better to speak earlier in the week! I could have saved 1 minute of talk time, and maybe could have *started* the running jokes.

#### Posets for F-polynomials in marked surfaces

Nathan Preading NC State University

Cluster Algebras and Its Applications Oberwolfach, January 18, 2024

Reporting on joint work with Vincent Pilaud and Sibylle Pschroll

#### Posets for F-polynomials in marked surfaces

Nathan Reading NC State University

Cluster Algebras and Its Applications Oberwolfach, January 18, 2024

Reporting on joint work with Vincent Ilaud and Sibylle Schroll

# Section 1: Background

Suppose *L* is a distributive lattice.



Suppose L is a distributive lattice.



FTFDL says: There exists P such that L is the lattice of downsets of P.



Suppose L is a distributive lattice.

FTFDL says: There exists P such that L is the lattice of downsets of P.





# Philosophy

If you see a distributive lattice, you must use FTFDL.

# Our cluster algebras conventions

In six words: Cluster algebras IV with principal coefficients.

#### Our cluster algebras conventions

In six words: Cluster algebras IV with principal coefficients.

Specifically:

Initial cluster variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ 

Tropical variables/initial coefficients  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ 

Monomials  $\hat{y}_1, \ldots, \hat{y}_n$  with  $\hat{y}_j = y_j \prod_i x_i^{b_{ij}}$ 

**g**-vectors and *F*-polynomials: Each cluster variable is  $x^{\mathbf{g}} \cdot F(\hat{y})$ .

In a marked surface (**S**, **M**) and triangulation T, choose a lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$  for each arc  $\gamma$  in T.

There is a unique way to put a hyperbolic metric and horocycles on **S** so that each arc  $\gamma \in T$  is a geodesic with lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$ .

Cluster variables are lambda lengths of tagged arcs.

Question: Find a formula for the lambda length of a tagged arc  $\alpha$  in terms of the lambda lengths  $x_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in T$ .

In a marked surface (**S**, **M**) and triangulation T, choose a lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$  for each arc  $\gamma$  in T.

There is a unique way to put a hyperbolic metric and horocycles on **S** so that each arc  $\gamma \in T$  is a geodesic with lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$ .

Cluster variables are lambda lengths of tagged arcs.

Question: Find a formula for the lambda length of a tagged arc  $\alpha$  in terms of the lambda lengths  $x_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in T$ .

The fine print:

In a marked surface (**S**, **M**) and triangulation T, choose a lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$  for each arc  $\gamma$  in T.

There is a unique way to put a hyperbolic metric<sup>\*</sup> and horocycles on **S** so that each arc  $\gamma \in T$  is a geodesic with lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$ .

Cluster variables are lambda lengths of tagged arcs.

Question: Find a formula for the lambda length of a tagged arc  $\alpha$  in terms of the lambda lengths  $x_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in T$ .

#### The fine print:

\* Unique constant curvature -1 metric with marked points at infinity with boundary segments being geodesics with lambda length 1.

In a marked surface (**S**, **M**) and triangulation T, choose a lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$  for each arc  $\gamma$  in T.

There is a unique way to put a hyperbolic metric<sup>\*</sup> and horocycles on **S** so that each arc  $\gamma \in T$  is a geodesic with lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$ .

Cluster variables are lambda lengths\*\* of tagged arcs.

Question: Find a formula for the lambda length of a tagged arc  $\alpha$  in terms of the lambda lengths  $x_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in T$ .

#### The fine print:

\* Unique constant curvature -1 metric with marked points at infinity with boundary segments being geodesics with lambda length 1.

\*\*  $\alpha$  is isotopic to a unique (tagged) geodesic. Take the lambda length of that geodesic.

In a marked surface (**S**, **M**) and triangulation T, choose a lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$  for each arc  $\gamma$  in T.

There is a unique way to put a hyperbolic metric<sup>\*</sup> and horocycles on **S** so that each arc  $\gamma \in T$  is a geodesic with lambda length  $x_{\gamma}$ .

Cluster variables are lambda lengths\*\* of tagged arcs.\*\*\*

Question: Find a formula for the lambda length of a tagged arc  $\alpha$  in terms of the lambda lengths  $x_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in T$ .

#### The fine print:

\* Unique constant curvature -1 metric with marked points at infinity with boundary segments being geodesics with lambda length 1.

\*\*  $\alpha$  is isotopic to a unique (tagged) geodesic. Take the lambda length of that geodesic.

\*\*\* Actually, coefficient free cluster variables (i.e. setting all  $y_i = 1$ ) are lambda lengths. For principal coefficients, use laminated lambda lengths.

# Example



# Example



Find the lambda length of this tagged arc.

Surfaces model: Fomin, Shapiro, Thurston (FST 2006, FT 2012).

Other main work on main question: Musiker, Schiffler, Williams (MS 2008, MSW 2009, MW 2011, MSW 2011).

MSW give a formula for cluster variables as a weighted sum of perfect matchings on snake graphs, in the case where  $\alpha$  has no notches, with Laurent monomials as weights.

When  $\alpha$  has notches, there is an extra symmetry condition on matchings or a pair of "compatible" matchings.

Our starting point is an insight about the simpler case, already in the MSW work: There is a distributive lattice structure on the set of perfect matchings of a graph (Propp 2002).

Surfaces model: Fomin, Shapiro, Thurston (FST 2006, FT 2012).

Other main work on main question: Musiker, Schiffler, Williams (MS 2008, MSW 2009, MW 2011, MSW 2011).

MSW give a formula for cluster variables as a weighted sum of perfect matchings on snake graphs, in the case where  $\alpha$  has no notches, with Laurent monomials as weights.

When  $\alpha$  has notches, there is an extra symmetry condition on matchings or a pair of "compatible" matchings.

Our starting point is an insight about the simpler case, already in the MSW work: There is a distributive lattice structure on the set of perfect matchings of a graph (Propp 2002).

#### FTFDL!

# Section 2: The theorem

### If you see a distributive lattice, you must use FTFDL

By the MSW work, each cluster variable is a sum of Laurent monomials indexed by the elements of a finite distributive lattice, at least in the plain-tagged case.

A finite distributive lattice is the set of downsets in a finite poset.

Q: What is the nicest possible way to get monomials from downsets in a finite poset?

### If you see a distributive lattice, you must use FTFDL

By the MSW work, each cluster variable is a sum of Laurent monomials indexed by the elements of a finite distributive lattice, at least in the plain-tagged case.

A finite distributive lattice is the set of downsets in a finite poset.

Q: What is the nicest possible way to get monomials from downsets in a finite poset?

A: Give a monomial weight to each <u>element</u> of the poset. The weight of a downset is the product of the weights of its elements.

#### If you see a distributive lattice, you must use FTFDL

By the MSW work, each cluster variable is a sum of Laurent monomials indexed by the elements of a finite distributive lattice, at least in the plain-tagged case.

A finite distributive lattice is the set of downsets in a finite poset.

Q: What is the nicest possible way to get monomials from downsets in a finite poset?

A: Give a monomial weight to each <u>element</u> of the poset. The weight of a downset is the product of the weights of its elements.

Main result (with Pilaud and Schroll): A simple, combinatorial way to construct a weighted poset  $P_{\alpha}$  for any tagged arc  $\alpha$  so that the weighted sum of downsets is *F*-polynomial. (There is already a known formula for the **g**-vector using shear coordinates). We give a conceptually simple proof (for *F* and **g**) using hyperbolic geometry.











Cluster variable associated to  $\alpha$  (i.e. lambda length of  $\alpha$ ):

$$egin{aligned} &x_lpha &= rac{x_5}{x_4}ig(1+\hat{y}_4+\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4+\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_8\ &+ \hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8\hat{y}_9ig) \end{aligned}$$



Cluster variable associated to  $\alpha$  (i.e. lambda length of  $\alpha$ ):

$$egin{aligned} &x_lpha &= rac{x_5}{x_4}ig(1+\hat{y}_4+\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4+\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_8\ &+ \hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8\hat{y}_9ig) \end{aligned}$$



Cluster variable associated to  $\alpha$  (i.e. lambda length of  $\alpha$ ):

$$egin{aligned} &x_lpha &= rac{x_5}{x_4}ig(1+\hat{y}_4+\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4+\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_8\ &+ \hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8+\hat{y}_1\hat{y}_4\hat{y}_5\hat{y}_7\hat{y}_8\hat{y}_9ig) \end{aligned}$$

Non-degenerate case:  $\alpha$  is not (a tagged version of) an arc in T.

Follow  $\alpha$  through T. Each time  $\alpha$  crosses an arc  $\gamma$  of T, we get an element of  $P_{\alpha}$  that is labeled (usually) with  $\hat{y}_{\gamma}$ .

When  $\gamma$  is the interior edge of a self-folded triangle, the label is  $\hat{y}_{\gamma}/\hat{y}_{\beta}$ , where  $\beta$  is the exterior edge.

Each new element covers or is covered by the one before. When we turn right in a triangle, we are going down in the poset. When we turn left, we are going up.

When  $\alpha$  is tagged notched at one or both endpoints, we add chains at those endpoints.

This case also done by Oğuz–Yıldırım. (See also MSW, Wilson).

# Non-degenerate case ( $\alpha$ tagged plain)



# Non-degenerate case ( $\alpha$ tagged notched at one end)



### Non-degenerate case ( $\alpha$ tagged notched at one end)



# Non-degenerate case ( $\alpha$ tagged notched at both ends)



# Degenerate case ( $\alpha$ notched at one end)



Degenerate means  $\alpha$  coincides, up to tagging, with an arc in T.

# Degenerate case ( $\alpha$ notched at both ends)



# Degenerate case ( $\alpha$ notched at both ends, 2<sup>nd</sup> example)



# Degenerate case ( $\alpha$ notched at both ends, 3<sup>rd</sup> example)



### g-Vectors

**Proposition.** The **g**-vector of  $x_{\alpha}$  is the negative of the shear coordinate vector of  $\kappa(\alpha)$ .

(Labardini-Fragoso, 2010, Musiker–Schiffler–Williams 2011, R. 2014, Felikson–Tumarkin 2017 + orbifolds, Pilaud–R.–Schroll 2023.)



 $\alpha$ 

### g-Vectors

**Proposition.** The **g**-vector of  $x_{\alpha}$  is the negative of the shear coordinate vector of  $\kappa(\alpha)$ .

(Labardini-Fragoso, 2010, Musiker–Schiffler–Williams 2011, R. 2014, Felikson–Tumarkin 2017 + orbifolds, Pilaud–R.–Schroll 2023.)





### g-Vectors

**Proposition.** The **g**-vector of  $x_{\alpha}$  is the negative of the shear coordinate vector of  $\kappa(\alpha)$ .

(Labardini-Fragoso, 2010, Musiker-Schiffler-Williams 2011, R. 2014, Felikson–Tumarkin 2017 + orbifolds, Pilaud–R.–Schroll 2023.)



# Section 3: Proof idea and comments

#### Want to show:

The cluster variable for a tagged arc  $\alpha$  is the weighted sum of downsets in  $P_{\alpha}.$ 

If we could show that these weighted sums of downsets satisfy the exchange relations, we would be done.

In practice, it's difficult to have control over exchange relations. Why? Self-folded triangles,  $\alpha$  may intersect the same arc in the triangulation many times, etc.

#### Want to show:

The cluster variable for a tagged arc  $\alpha$  is the weighted sum of downsets in  $P_{\alpha}.$ 

If we could show that these weighted sums of downsets satisfy the exchange relations, we would be done.

In practice, it's difficult to have control over exchange relations. Why? Self-folded triangles,  $\alpha$  may intersect the same arc in the triangulation many times, etc.

Instead, use the fact that the cluster variable is a hyperbolic length: Lift  $\alpha$  to be a tagged arc  $\alpha'$  in a surface without these complications, and lift the hyperbolic metric too. In the new surface, there are uncomplicated exchange relations.

We can induct on the number of elements of P.

# Picture of the lifting



# Picture of the lifting



# Picture of the lifting



Key point: Lift the hyperbolic metric and the horocycles, not just the combinatorics.

So the arc  $\alpha$  and the lift  $\alpha'$  have the same lambda length.



#### The exchange relation becomes simple combinatorics

Once we lift,  $\exists$  many arcs  $\gamma \in T'$  such that exchanging  $\alpha'$  and  $\gamma$  is  $F(P_{\alpha'}) = F(P_{\text{blue}}) + \hat{y}_{\text{orange}} \cdot F(P_{\text{red}}).$ 

Specifically, if  $e_\gamma$  is the element of  $P_{\alpha'}$  labeled  $\hat{y}_\gamma$ , then

- $F(P_{\text{blue}})$  is the weighted sum of downsets not containing  $e_{\gamma}$ .
- $\hat{y}_{\text{orange}} \cdot F(P_{\text{red}})$  is weighted sum of downsets containing  $e_{\gamma}$ .



#### Another exchange relation example

$$F(P_{\alpha'}) = F(P_{\mathsf{blue}}) + \hat{y}_{\mathsf{orange}} \cdot F(P_{\mathsf{red}}).$$

- $F(P_{\text{blue}})$  is the weighted sum of downsets not containing  $e_{\gamma}$ .
- $\hat{y}_{\text{orange}} \cdot F(P_{\text{red}})$  is weighted sum of downsets containing  $e_{\gamma}$ .



#### Comments

**Coefficients**: Everything I have explained here works for the coefficient-free case (after you set all the  $y_i$  to 1). To do principal coefficients, we use laminated lambda lengths (FT 2012). Basically the same proof, once one digests FT's tropical hyperbolic geometry.

**Relationship to other work**: Insights from the MSW work are very important. Downsets in posets, in puncture-free case, are already in Musiker-Schiffler-Williams (2011) and Çanakçı-Schroll (2021). The non-degenerate case is in Oğuz–Yıldırım (2022). Similar posets are in work of Wilson (2020) and Weng (2023). Exchange (skein) relations are in MSW and Çanakçı–Schiffler.

#### What's new here:

- Make FTFDL the crucial idea.
- Treat all cases (all tagged arcs, no restrictions on **S** or **M**).
- Simple proof (poset combinatorics + FT's hyperbolic geometry).

# Future/ongoing work

Are *F*-posets the right way to describe cluster variables for other classes of cluster algebras?

- Orbifolds?
- Finite type?

#### Applications to adjacent areas?

Especially representation theory, where a special case of these poset constructions was the initial motivation for this work.

#### References

Vincent Pilaud, NR, and Sibylle Schroll, (arXiv:2311.06033), Posets for F-polynomials in cluster algebras from surfaces. Çanakçı–Schiffler, Snake Graph Calculus and ... surfaces. I–III. Çanakçı-Schroll, Lattice bijections for string modules... Fomin–Shapiro–Thurston, Cluster alg.s and triangulated surfaces. I. Fomin-Thurston, Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. II. Fomin-Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras. IV. Musiker-Schiffler, Cluster expansion formulas... Musiker–Schiffler–Williams, Positivity for cluster algebras... Musiker–Schiffler–Williams, Bases for cluster algebras... Oğuz–Yıldırım, Cluster algebras and oriented posets Weng, F-polynomials of Donaldson-Thomas transformations. Wilson, Surface cluster algebra expansion formulae via loop graphs.